

**Swiss Program for Beginning Doctoral Students in Economics 2000**

**Exam in Microeconomics**

**Saturday, July 29, 2000, 09.00h - 11.00h**

1. You are allowed to use all material that you want (lecture notes, books, etc.) with the exception of PC's.
2. Please **do not** mention your name on top of the pages, but use your identification number from the enclosed list. The reason is that the exams will be graded anonymously. Please use **a pen** rather than a pencil so that your answers can be read without problems.
3. Good luck!

ID-Number: \_\_\_\_\_

## Swiss Program for Beginning Doctoral Students in Economics 2000

1. (20 points) Consider a consumer who consumes only two goods and satisfies Walras' Law. When prices are  $(2, 4)$  he demands  $(5, 10)$ . When prices are  $(6, 3)$ , he demands  $(10, y)$ . Nothing else of significance has changed between the two situations.
  - (a) Suppose that  $y = 5$ . Do these consumption plans satisfy the weak axiom of revealed preference.

- (b) For which range of  $y$  do these consumption plans violate the weak axiom?

2. (20 points) Consumer 1's expenditure function  $e_1(p_1, p_2, u_1) = u_1 \sqrt{p_1 p_2}$  and consumer 2 has utility function  $u_2(x_1, x_2) = 43x_1^3 x_2^a$ .
- (a) What are the Marshallian (market) demand functions for each of the goods by each of the consumers? Denote the wealth of consumer 1 by  $w_1$  and the wealth of consumer 2 by  $w_2$ .

- (b) For what value(s) of the parameter  $a$  will there exist an aggregate demand function that is independent of the distribution of income but only depends on  $w_1 + w_2$ ?

3. (20 points) Let  $x$  be a vector of inputs and  $w$  the corresponding vector of input prices. Show: If the production function  $f(x)$  has constant returns to scale, then the corresponding cost function can then be written as  $c(w, y) = y \cdot c(w)$ , where  $c(w) = c(w, 1)$ . Furthermore, the conditional input demand function  $x(w, y)$  can be written as  $x(w, y) = y \cdot x(w)$ .

4. (30 points) In an exchange economy with  $I$  consumers, an allocation  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_I\}$  is called "envy-free" if every consumer prefers his bundle to anyone else's.

- Show that, if initial endowments are equally shared ( $\omega_i = \frac{\omega}{I}$  for all  $i$ ) then any competitive equilibrium is envy free.

- Conversely, prove that if all consumers have the same utility function, then all envy free Pareto optimal allocation correspond to a competitive equilibrium with equal sharing of initial endowments.

- Represent the set of envy-free allocations in an Edgeworth box where consumers have Cobb-Douglas utilities

5. (30 points) Consider an exchange economy with one good (say, wheat), and  $S$  states of nature ( $s = 1, \dots, S$ ), with respective probabilities  $\pi_s$ . There are  $I$  consumers ( $i = 1, \dots, I$ ) characterized by preferences:

—

$$U^i(x^i) = \sum \pi_s u^i(x_s^i)$$

- Recall the precise characterization of Pareto optima in terms of marginal rates of substitution

- Apply this characterization to determine the Pareto optima of this economy in the particular case where

$$u^i(x_s^i) = (x_s^i)^{\alpha_i} \text{ for all } i, \text{ with } \alpha_i \in [0, 1]$$

- same question when  $u^i(x_s^i) = -\exp -\rho_i x_s^i$